2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

for some other reason. So it is important that you answer my questions honestly and completely.

If at any time you are having trouble understanding anything or you want to talk to your lawyer, just let me know.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Fields, could you please place

THE COURT: In the past 24 hours have you taken any drugs, medicine, or pills or drunk any alcoholic beverages?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

24

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

MCase AN22-cr-00673-LAK Document 21 Filed 12/23/22 Page 5 of 34 THE COURT: Okay. So now what I want to talk about is the charging instrument. It a superseding information. That's the document, the charge that the government is seeking to file in this case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Have you received a copy of the superseding information?

It's titled S1 22 Cr. 673.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you reviewed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So under our legal system, before you or anyone else can be charged with a felony offense, the government is obligated to go to a grand jury, which must decide whether there's probable cause to believe that an offense was committed and that you committed it. And that decision may result in what's called an indictment.

I want to make sure that you understand that by allowing the government to charge you by way of this information, you are waiving, or giving up, your right to be charged by a grand jury in an indictment?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do I have the signed waiver of indictment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Were any threats or promises made other than by the prosecution in the written plea agreement to get you to waive indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Does either counsel have any reason to believe that Mr. Wang has not knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to be charged by a grand jury?

MR. ROOS: No, your Honor.

MR. GRAFF: No, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

I find that he has knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to be charged by a grand jury, and I authorize the filing of the superseding information.

Now what I am going to do is I am going to explain certain constitutional rights that you have. These are rights that you will be giving up if you enter a guilty plea.

So, first, under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to plead not guilty to the charges in that superseding information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you did plead not guilty, you would be entitled under the Constitution to a speedy and public trial by jury of those charges.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In advance of trial, if you chose to go to trial, you would have the opportunity to seek suppression of any or all of the evidence against you on the basis that it was obtained in violation of the Constitution.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At trial, again, if you chose to go to trial, you would be presumed innocent. That means that you would not have to prove that you were innocent. Instead, the government would need to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty.

So even if you did nothing or said nothing at trial, again, if you chose to go to trial, you could not be convicted unless a jury of 12 people agreed unanimously that you are quilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: During trial, if you chose to go to trial, the witnesses for the prosecution would have to come to court and testify in your presence, where you could see them and hear them and your lawyer could cross-examine them.

If you wanted to, your lawyer could offer evidence on your behalf. You would be able to use the Court's power to compel or force witnesses to come to court to testify truthfully in your defense, even if they didn't want to come.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At trial, again, if you went to trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but you would also have the right not to testify, and if you chose not to testify, that could not be used against you in any way. So no inference or suggestion of guilt would be made from the fact that you chose not to testify.

1 Do you understand that?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. At trial and at every stage your case, you would be entitled to be represented by an attorney. And if you could not afford an attorney one would be appointed at public expense, meaning free of cost, to represent you?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you are convicted at trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict to a higher court.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: As I said before, you have the right to plead not guilty. So even as you sit here right now for purposes of entering a guilty plea, you have the right to change your mind and to go to trial. But if you do plead guilty and I accept your plea, there will be no trial, and you will be giving up the rights that I just described.

If you plead guilty, all that will remain to be done is for me to impose sentence at the appropriate time. I will enter a judgment of guilty and sentence you on that basis after considering whatever submissions I get from you, from your lawyer, the government, as well as a presentence report prepared by the probation department. But there will be no

MCaBWAN22-cr-00673-LAK Document 21 Filed 12/23/22 Page 10 of 34 1 appeal with respect to whether the government could use the 2 evidence it has against you or with respect to whether you did 3 or did not commit the crime. 4 Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. If you plead quilty, you will also have to 6 THE COURT: 7 give up your right not to incriminate yourself, because I am 8 going to ask you certain questions here in court today in order 9 to satisfy myself that you are in fact guilty as charged. 10

Do you understand that?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So I understand that you seek to plead guilty to Counts One through Four of the superseding information and admit to the forfeiture allegation.

I am going ask the government to please state the elements of the offenses in question.

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor.

Counts One and Two of the information charge the defendant respectively with conspiracy to commit wire fraud and wire fraud.

The elements of the first count, conspiracy to commit wire fraud are:

First, the existence of a conspiracy to commit wire fraud; and

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully

1 became a member of and joined in the conspiracy.

The elements of wire fraud itself, which is the object of Count One and the substantive crime of Count Two, are:

First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the defendant knowingly participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with the specific intent to defraud or that he knowingly and intentionally aided and abetted others in the scheme; and

Third, that in the execution of that scheme, the defendant used or caused the use of interstate or foreign wires.

Counts Three and Four of the indictment both charge the defendant with a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

Count Three is a conspiracy to commit commodities fraud.

Count Four is a conspiracy to commit securities fraud.

The conspiracy under Section 371 has three elements:

First, that two or more persons entered into an unlawful agreement charged in the specific count;

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of that alleged conspiracy; and

Third, that one of the members of the conspiracy
knowingly committed at least one overt act in furtherance of
the conspiracy.

So for Count Three the object of the conspiracy is
conspiracy to commit commodities fraud, in violation of Titl

So for Count Three the object of the conspiracy is a conspiracy to commit commodities fraud, in violation of Title 7, United States Code, Section 9(1)(13)(a)(5) and Title 17 Code of Federal Regulations 180.1.

There are three elements to this crime:

First, in connection with any swap or contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce or contracts for future delivery on or subject the rules of any registered entity;

Second, the defendant or any of his coconspirators did any one or more of the following:

- (a) employed, attempted, to use or employ a manipulative device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) made or attempted to make an untrue or misleading statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make statements not untrue or misleading; or
- (c) engaged or attempted to engage in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a person; and

Third, that defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud.

Finally, for Count Four, the object of the 371

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- 1 | conspiracy is a violation of Title 15, United States Code,
- 2 | Section 78j(b) and 78ff and Title 17, Code of Federal
- 3 Regulations, Section 240.10b-5. That's securities fraud.
- 4 | There are three elements of securities fraud:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

- First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of a security the proposed defendant:
 - (1) Employed a defendant scheme or artifice to defraud;
 - (2) Made an untrue statement of material fact or omitted to state a material fact, which made what was said under the circumstances misleading; or
 - (3) Engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller.
 - Second, the defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with intent to defraud; and
 - Third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instrument of transportation or communication in the interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.
 - And, finally, the government would have to prove by a preponderance of the evidence venue.
 - THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- So, Mr. Wang, I want to make sure you understand that
 if you were to go to trial on these charges the government

would need to prove each of the elements that were mentioned beyond a reasonable doubt in addition to proving venue, but that is a lower legal standard.

Do you understand that?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So now I am going to discuss the maximum penalties for this crime or these crimes. The maximum penalty means the most that could possibly be imposed. It doesn't necessarily mean it's the sentence you will receive. But you have to understand that by pleading guilty you are exposing yourself to the possibility of receiving any combination of punishments up to the maximums that I am just about to describe.

So with respect to Counts One and Two, the maximum terms of imprisonment for each of those crimes is 20 years in prison.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any term of imprisonment you do receive may be followed by a term of supervised release of three years on each count. Supervised release means that if you are sentenced to prison, after you are released from prison, you will be subject to the supervision of the probation department. You will be required to obey certain rules, and if you violate those rules, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial

MCABWANZ-cr-00673-LAK Document 21 Filed 12/23/22 Page 15 of 34 1 to serve additional time even beyond your original sentence. 2 Do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You should also understand that there's no 4 5 parole in the federal system. If you're sentenced to prison, 6 you will not be released early on parole, although there is a 7 limited opportunity to earn credit for good behavior. 8 Do you understand that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: In addition to these restrictions on your 11 liberty, the punishment for these crimes includes certain 12 financial penalties. The maximum allowable final for each count -- again, 13 14 we are talking about Counts One and Two -- is \$250,000, twice 15 the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the 16 gross pecuniary loss to persons other than yourself resulting 17 from the offense. 18 Do you understand that? 19

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: There's also a mandatory special assessment, or fee, of \$100 for each of these crimes.

Do you understand that?

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In addition, I must order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal

conduct, and I can order you to forfeit all property derived from the offense or used to facilitate the offense.

Do you understand that as well?

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So now I am going to turn to Counts Three and Four.

With respect to your liberty on each of those counts, the maximum term of imprisonment for each count is five years. Any term of imprisonment may be followed by a term of three years of supervised release. The maximum allowable fine is again \$250,000 on each count, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than yourself resulting from the offense, whichever is higher.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am also, again, required to impose a mandatory special assessment, or fee, of \$100 on each of those counts.

And, again, I must order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal conduct and can order you to forfeit all property derived from these offenses or used to facilitate these offenses.

Do you understand that these are the maximum penalties for Counts Three and Four?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand in addition that the total maximum sentence of incarceration on Counts One, Two, Three, and Four of this information is 50 years in prison?

THE COURT: Is Mr. Wang now being prosecuted elsewhere that we know of?

MR. ROOS: No, your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Wang, you should be aware that the punishments that I have just described are those that may be part of a sentence, but being convicted of a felony may have other consequences.

Are you a United States citizen?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then you should understand that, as a result of your guilty plea, you may lose certain valuable civil rights to the extent that you have them now, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury and the right to possess any kind of firearm.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I am going to talk about the sentencing guidelines. In imposing sentence, federal judges are required to consider the recommendations of the federal

1 sentencing guidelines.

2.3

The guidelines are a complicated set of rules for determining an appropriate sentence. At one time they were mandatory; judges were bound to follow them. They are no longer mandatory, but judges must nonetheless consider the guidelines in determining an appropriate sentence, although ultimately I am going to look to the factors set forth in a provision of the law, 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a), and impose a sentence that I believe best satisfies the purposes of the criminal law as set forth in that statute, even if it's higher or lower than the guidelines recommendation.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you discuss the sentencing guidelines with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the guidelines are only recommendations to the court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I understand that you have entered into a written plea agreement with the government. I have what appears to be an original copy of that agreement. It's dated December 18, and addressed to your attorneys, Mr. Graff and Mr. Miller, and signed by various representatives on behalf of the government.

summarize the primary terms of the agreement, please.

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So the first page through the second page of the document describe the charges in the information, the penalties, and the understanding that the defendant will be pleading quilty to those. Also on the second page are the provisions relating to admitting the forfeiture allegations and agreeing to pay restitution. There is a requirement on page 2 relating to the defendant's agreement to cooperate with the

1 government.

2.3

There is on page 3 a discussion of what the defendant will not be further prosecuted for, which includes a description of the counts in the information as well as some additional relevant conduct.

And then there are a series of additional provisions through the remainder of the agreement that describe the defendant's rights and certain rights that he is giving up by pleading guilty. As one of those I would just highlight, there is a waiver of venue which appears actually on the second page of the agreement.

THE COURT: Okay.

Is all of that consistent, Mr. Wang, with your understanding of this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

I am just going to follow up on one or two terms.

I want to make sure that you understand it is up to the government and not to me, not to the Court, to decide whether any cooperation you provide has been productive enough for the government to file what we call the 5K1 motion and recommend a sentence below the sentence recommended by the

2.3

understand that if anyone attempts to predict or has attempted to predict what your sentence will be, that that prediction could be wrong.

I say that because no one in this courtroom, not the government, not your attorney, not even I know what your sentence will be. That won't be determined until a later date after the probation department has drafted a presentence report and I've done my own independent calculation of the guidelines and I have reviewed whatever submissions I get from you and your lawyer and the government as well as the presentence report.

So I just want to make sure you understand that even if your sentence is different from what you had hoped for or expected you won't be allowed to withdraw your plea on that basis.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You have not submitted a consent order of forfeiture to date.

Is that correct?

MR. ROOS: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure. I don't have that before me.

Okay. So now that you have been advised, Mr. Wang, of the charges against you and the possible pents you face and the

1 rights that you are giving up, is it still your intention to 2 plead guilty to these four charges? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: So now I am going ask you the official 5 question with respect to each count which is how do you plead, 6 quilty or not quilty? 7 So first with respect to Count One of the superseding 8 indictment, which is a conspiracy to commit wire fraud on 9 customers, how do you plead? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Now, with respect to Count Two, wire fraud 12 on customers, how do you plead? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: With respect to Count Three, conspiracy to 15 commit commodities fraud, how do you plead? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And with respect to Count Four, conspiracy 18 to commit securities fraud, how do you plead? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And do you admit to the forfeiture 21 allegation that's in this information? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Okay.

makes you believe that you are guilty of these crimes.

So now tell me in your own words what you did that

24

THE DEFENDANT: Between 2019 and 2022 --

THE COURT: I am going to ask you to just speak very slowly and very loudly. It can be difficult to hear in this courtroom because of the high ceilings.

Thank you.

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: Between 2019 and 2022, as part of my employment at FTX, I was directed to and agreed to make certain changes to the platform's code. I executed those changes, which I knew would Alameda Research special privileges on the FTX platform.

I did so knowing that others were representing to investors and customers that Alameda had no such special privileges and people were likely investing in and using FTX based in part on those misrepresentations.

I knew what I was doing was wrong. I also knew that the misrepresentations were being made by telephone and internet, among other means, and that assets traded on FTX included some assets that the U.S. regulators regard as securities and commodities.

THE COURT: When you did this, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and was illegal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Would the government like to ask any additional questions?

MR. ROOS: No additional questions, your Honor.

The government would just proffer that there is a 1 2 basis for venue. In addition to the waiver, there's wires that 3 go through the Southern District of New York, investors located 4 in the Southern District of New York. 5 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Graff, any objection to that? 6 7 I understand that you are waiving venue. Is that correct? 8 9 MR. GRAFF: That's correct, your Honor. 10 No objection. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Could the government please summarize what its 12 13 evidence would be if you were to go to trial against Mr. Wang. 14 MR. ROOS: Certainly, your Honor. 15 It would consist of witness statements, Signal 16 communications and Slack communications, financial records, and 17 records from FTX in the form of code and database, among other 18 things. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 Do the government and defense counsel agree that there 21 is a sufficient factual predicate for the guilty plea? 22 MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor. 2.3 MR. GRAFF: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Wang, because you acknowledge that you

are in fact guilty as charged in the information, and because

I'm satisfied is that you are aware of your rights, including your right to go to trial, and that you are aware of the consequences of your plea, including the sentence which may be imposed, I find that you are knowingly and voluntarily pleading guilty. I accept your guilty plea to Counts One, Two, Three, and Four of the information.

I know we need to talk about bail and a few other things, but should we set a control date for sentencing at this time?

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor. We would suggest a date fairly significantly far out, but I think a control date is fine.

THE COURT: What would you propose? Nine months? A year?

MR. ROOS: A year probably.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Fields, can you just look on the calendar. And just look at December 19 of next year and see if it is a weekday, please. Why don't we set a control date for December 19, 2023.

Now we have to talk about bail. I understand that the pretrial services does not have a written pretrial services report, but would like to report that orally. That is something as a matter of course that is kept confidential. So my question is how would you like to present that to the Court

in light of the fact that its normally a document that is not publicly disclosed?

MS. ALVAYERO: Your Honor, pretrial requests that the report be done orally in chambers.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any objection to that?

MR. GRAFF: None from the defense, your Honor.

MR. ROOS: That is fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: Again, because this is a document that is as a matter of course kept confidential and not publicly disclosed, I think that is appropriate, but we are not going to discuss anything else. So why don't we go into my robing room and the parties, Mr. Wang, his attorneys, and the government, can all come as well. That will be done orally on the record with the court reporter.

Okay. That will be sealed from the transcript. I understand we have another sealing issue down the line, but why don't we deal with this one first.

MR. ROOS: Your Honor, just two other matters?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ROOS: One is I think, since he waived indictment and the information was therefore entered today, he technically needs to be arraigned. Your Honor already reviewed the substance of the information, so we would just ask on the record that the defendant waive the public reading, if he chooses, of the information.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Sure.

2.3

So, Mr. Wang, I asked you at the beginning if you had reviewed the information and discussed it with your attorney.

Do you waive its public reading?

Just so you know, you have a right to have me read it oud loud in court. Do you waive, or give up, that right such that I won't read it publicly in court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Do you want to have this oral report with respect to the pretrial services report and then come back into court, or do you want to talk about sealing and then I will make my bail determination. I think I have to make my bail determination in open court, so I intend to do that. I could also do it in written fashion. It can be sealed, but it needs to be public, and then I need to justify the sealing.

So do you have a suggestion for the order of events?

MR. ROOS: I think your Honor was inclined to go back
now. That's fine with us. My colleague just pointed out that
I think your Honor maybe has something right after this.

THE COURT: I have something at 12:20. I have a hard stop, but I am available until 12:15 really. So I am available for the next half hour.

MR. ROOS: I guess, like, in the interest of maybe just doing everything in the courtroom now, and then we can

conclude with whatever in chambers, although if your Honor 1 2 would prefer another way, that's fine. 3 THE COURT: That is fine. But either way we either 4 have to come back in, or you have to submit a letter to me with 5 the bail conditions. 6 MR. ROOS: Right. We can come back out then.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we go in my robing Then we will come back, and if there are any, I understand that there's consent on bail, but if anyone would like to say anything on the record with respect to bail, they will do so at that time.

All right.

(Pages 29 to 32 sealed)

I think that's fine.

15

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

THE COURT: Everyone can be seated.

So the pretrial services report has been read to me orally as well as to the parties. I understand from the agreement that the parties have reached an agreement and would like to make that proposal.

Do you want to just do so orally now?

MR. ROOS: May I just confer with defense counsel?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ROOS: So, your Honor.

THE COURT: On page 4 of the agreement.

MR. ROOS: Correct. On page 4 is the parties' agreement, \$250,000 personal recognizance bond.

So, combined, one financially responsible person, travel restricted to the continental United States, the defendant to surrender all travel documents and refrain from making any new applications supervision as directed by pretrial services, and adherence to all other standard conditions of release, which I think are largely the conditions of pretrial services.

There's one that I just discussed with defense counsel, which is a proposal of no contact with codefendants or other witnesses. I think we are fine with the codefendant, no contact with codefendant. I think for, just based on some of the people in the defendant's life, I think it will be impractical to impose the condition of no contact with other

witnesses, so we would ask just that that condition be no contact with codefendants.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Again, Mr. Graff, you are all right with adding that condition?

MR. GRAFF: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: With respect to codefendants?

MR. GRAFF: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

That application is granted.

I think, as noted, it's consistent with what was recommended by pretrial services.

Among other things, Mr. Wang has strong ties in the community and no criminal history, among other things. So I am going to grant that request.

Now, Mr. Wang, you should understand that if you don't appear for any court proceedings that you are ordered to appear for or for sentencing you could be charged with a separate crime of bail jumping and subject to an additional prison sentence or fine in addition to whatever sentence you do receive.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You should also understand that if you violate any condition of your release, a warrant for your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

How long does Mr. Wang have to get the cosigner to sign the bond?

1 MR. ROOS: Two weeks.

2.3

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

Thank you. All right.

So we have discussed bail. I understand that there is an application to seal today's transcript as well as to delay docketing of the various documents as will as the transcript.

MR. ROOS: That's correct, your Honor. We submitted a two-page letter dated today to your Honor. We provided a copy to defense counsel.

It asks for the sealing and delayed docketing for today's proceeding until the later of either tomorrow at noon or the presentment of codefendant Samuel Bankman-Fried, whichever is later.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. ROOS: At that time it these would all become unsealed.

THE COURT: That application is granted.

I will note that there are legitimate law enforcement interests that support an order directing that these filings and docket entries in this case be made under seal for that period of time. Exposure of any possible cooperation could hinder law enforcement's ability to conduct and continue the ongoing investigation as well as to further law enforcement's other interests in connection with this prosecution.

Although there is a qualified right of public access

to court documents, the Second Circuit has recognized that 1 2 documents may be filed under seal to protect, among other 3 things, ongoing law enforcement efforts. See United States v. 4 Cojab and Haller. 5 The Second Circuit has also recognized that even 6 docketing the applications to seal can be prejudicial, and in 7 such cases the applications themselves and related notes to the docket could be sealed. See United States v. Alcantara. 8 9 I am going to ask you to reach out to my chambers as 10 soon as these documents can be unsealed by way of letter, and 11 we will do so promptly. 12 Are there any other applications at this time? 13 MR. ROOS: Not from the government, your Honor. 14 MR. GRAFF: Nor from the defense. Thank you, Judge. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. We are adjourned. 16 (Adjourned) 17 18 19 20 21 22 2.3 24